UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,513	05/05/2001	Dennis G. Earnshaw	070325-040017	8685
	7590 04/01/200 TRAURIG LLP (LA)	EXAMINER		
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			VIG, NARESH	
SANTA MONI	=	AR I MEN I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/849,513	EARNSHAW, DENNIS G.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	NARESH VIG	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss		
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the 3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office a	extension fee action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a			
AMENDMENTS	t muianta tha data of filing a buiaf	will not be entered been			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better.	isideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ <i>n</i>);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment o	canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an expl	anation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,14-20 and 22-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails to ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	o provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance	because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
	/Naresh Vig/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 3629			

This office action is being remailed because in the office action mailed 18 March 2008, claims 1-10,14-20 and 22-24 were erraneously identified as claims which are allowed. This has been corrected in this office action. Proper status of the pending claims have been identified in this office action.

Proposed amendment

creating on a first computer an electronic business transaction document to be directed to a plurality of recipient parties to a business transaction, the electronic business transaction document being created by a business management software program, the electronic business transaction document including a preferred communication format indicator for each of the plurality of recipient parties of the business transaction, the electronic business transaction document being directed to at least one recipient party in a computer communication format and to at least one other recipient party in a non-computer communication format;

because the raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Office has already issued a response on 26 November 2007 to the pending claims filed 25 September 2007.

In response to applicant's argument that the amendment was discussed during the interview summary conducted 28 August 2007.

However, applicant's invention as discussed during the interview has not been positively claimed by the applicant. As currently claimed by the applicant based upon which the office response on 26 November 2007 was issued. Cited prior art teaches the claimed invention. During the interview it was discussed that the amendment would overcome the prior art if the business document does not include a preferred communicatin format but just the recipient identifying information, and, the transaction server based on the recipient identifying information determines a preferred communication format for the recipient parties.